arXiv:1611.05323v2 [physics.gen-ph] 9 Jan 2017

A Brief Note on Anomalous Effects in 2D Crystals

B.G. Sidharth
G.P. Birla Observatory and Astronomical Research Centre
B.M. Birla Science Centre, Adarsh Nagar, Hyderabad - 500 063 (India)

Abstract

In this brief note we point out that in the case of two dimensional nano crystals like graaphene, there is an interesting anomalous behaviour of Fermions. This could potentially be useful. The point is that some electromagnetic properties depend on the non-commutative geometry of the crystal structure rather than on the chemistry of the crystal material.

Recently Andre Geim, who got the joint Nobel Prize for the discovery of Graphene and his coworkers observed that Graphene is porous only to protons and opaque otherwise. He hinted that this property could be used for harvesting hydrogen for use in fuel cells [1]. On the other hand, the author discussed the magical and anomalous properties of two dimensional crystals beginning 1995 – long before the discovery of Graphene [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. He has also pointed out that these properties of minimum conductivity and the like are a characteristic not just of Graphene but of any two dimensional crystal or quasi crystal, arguing on the following grounds: All this is a consequence of the noncommutative geometry caused by the lattice like structure which is not peculiar to Graphene alone [7].

The author had gone on to point out that any two dimensional crystal would exhibit such apparently anomalous properties. Indeed this was confirmed in the case of Stanene which is a two dimensional crystal with tin in place of carbon.

Returning to these two dimensional crystals, the protons would be described by the well known Weyl like two component equation [8]

$$\nu_F \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi(r) = E\psi(r) \tag{1}$$

Essentially (1) arises as only two of the three momenta ($p_x p_y p_z$) would survive owing to the two dimensionality.
In the above σs are the Pauli matrices and ν_F is the Fermi velocity $\sim 10^6 m/s$ This is about 300 times less compared to the velocity of light. However, there is a correspondence between graphene and the Minkowski world as detailed in an isomorphism [9] which enables us to go from the one to the other as far as mathematical formalism is considered. In this case a beam behaves like a mono energetic beam of Fermions all with energy $\sim mc^2$ or $m\nu_F^2$ discussed earlier in detail [10].
What would happen is that there would be, as discussed earlier, a Bose Einstein type of condensation of, curiously enough the protons. To see this in greater detail, we start with the well known formula for the occupation number of a Fermion gas [11]

$$\bar{n}_p = \frac{1}{z^{-1}e^{bE_p} + 1} \tag{2}$$

where, $z' \equiv \frac{\lambda^3}{v} \equiv \mu z \approx z$ because, here, as can be easily shown $\mu \approx 1$ (Cf.ref [10, 12])

$$v = \frac{V}{N}, \lambda = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m/b}}$$

$$b \equiv \left(\frac{1}{kT}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \bar{n}_p = N \tag{3}$$

where the symbols have their usual meaning.
Let us consider in particular a collection of Fermions which is somehow made nearly mono-energetic, as when they stream through a sheet of graphene or Stanene, that is, given by the distribution,

$$n'_p = \delta(p - p_0)\bar{n}_p \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{n}_p$ is given by (2). (4) would also bring us back to the 2D case (Cf. also Appendix).
This is not possible in general. By the usual formulation we have,

$$N = \frac{V}{\hbar^3}\int d\vec{p}n'_p = \frac{V}{\hbar^3}\int \delta(p - p_0)4\pi p^2 \bar{n}_p dp = \frac{4\pi V}{\hbar^3}p_0^2\frac{1}{z^{-1}e^{\theta} + 1} \tag{5}$$

where $\theta \equiv bE_{p_0}$.
It must be noted that in (5) there is a loss of dimension in momentum space,

due to the δ function in (4). This is also the case in 2D crystals like single layer graphene.
In an earlier communication [10] we showed that in the one dimensional case, corresponding to nanotubes we would have

$$kT = \frac{3}{5}kT_F \tag{6}$$

where T_F is the Fermi temperature. We can see that for the two dimensional case too kT would be very small (Cf.ref.[1]). This is because using the well known formula for two dimensions we have

$$kT = \frac{e\hbar\pi}{m\nu_F} \tag{7}$$

$$(kT)^3 = \frac{6e\hbar\nu_F}{\pi} \tag{8}$$

Whence we have

$$(kT)^2 = 6 \cdot \nu_F^2\pi^2 m \tag{9}$$

Remembering that $\nu_F \sim 10^8$, even for a particle whose mass is that of an electron or a proton, kT in (9) is very small. By way of a comparison for the Fermi temperature we get,

$$kT_F = \frac{\hbar}{2}(z6\pi)^{1/3} \cdot \nu_F$$

We would now have, $kT =< E_p > \approx E_p$ because of the mono energetic feature so that, $\theta \approx 1$. But we can proceed without giving θ any specific value.
Using the expressions for v and z given in (3) in (4), we get

$$(z^{-1}e^{\theta} + 1) = (4\pi)^{5/2}\frac{z'^{-1}}{p_0}; \text{whence}$$

$$z'^{-1}A \equiv z'^{-1}\left(\frac{(4\pi)^{5/2}}{p_0} - e^{\theta}\right) = 1, \tag{10}$$

where we use the fact that in (3), $\mu \approx 1$ as can be easily deduced.
From (10) if,

$$A \approx 1, i.e.,$$

$$p_0 \approx \frac{(4\pi)^{5/2}}{1+e} \tag{11}$$

where A is given in (10), then $z' \approx 1$. Remembering that in (3), λ is of the order of the de Broglie wave length and v is the average volume occupied per particle, this means that the gas gets very densely packed for momenta given by (11). Infact for a Bose gas, as is well known, this is the condition for Bose-Einstein condensation at the level $p = 0$ (cf.ref.[11]).
In any case there is an anomalous behaviour of the Fermions accompanied by a "fusion" type effect for protons.
On the other hand, if,

$$A \approx 0(\text{that} \quad \text{is} \quad \frac{(4\pi)^{5/2}}{e} \approx p_0)$$

then $z' \approx 0$. That is, the gas becomes dilute, or V increases.
More generally, equation (10) also puts a restriction on the energy (or momentum), because $z' > 0$, viz.,

$$A > 0(i.e. p_0 < \frac{(4\pi)^{5/2}}{e})$$

$$\text{But} \quad \text{if} A < 0, (i.e. p_0 > \frac{(4\pi)^{5/2}}{e})$$

then there is an apparent contradiction.
The contradiction disappears if we realize that $A \approx 0$, or

$$p_0 = \frac{(4\pi)^{5/2}}{e} \tag{12}$$

(corresponding to a temperature given by $KT = \frac{p_0^2}{2m}$) is a threshold momentum (phase transition). For momenta greater than the threshold given by (12), the collection of Fermions behaves like Bosons. This is the bosonization effect [13]. In this case, the occupation number is given by

$$\bar{n}_p = \frac{1}{z^{-1}e^{bE_p} - 1},$$

instead of (2), and the right side equation of (10) would be given by $' - 1'$ instead of $+1$, so that there would be no contradiction. Thus in this case there is an anomalous behaviour of the Fermions.

APPENDIX

To illustrate some of the above statements let us consider the case of 2D crystals in the context of the fractional Quantum Hall Effect. We have reiterated that the "graphene" effects are valid for all 2D crystals.

1 Two Dimensional Considerations(Cf.ref.[14])

The Quantum Hall Effect was discovered experimentally in the 1980s [15]. In this case, as is by now well known, for a two dimensional system of electrons, the Hall conductivity is found to be of the form

$$G = \lambda \cdot \frac{e^2}{h} \tag{13}$$

where λ takes on values

$$\lambda = m/n \tag{14}$$

m and n being integers. In particular we have the case that λ is an integer, called the integral Quantum Hall Effect [16].
The important thing is that (13) and (14) play a very precise role in metrology. There have been attempts to explain this phenomenon theoretically in particular by invoking gauge invariance [17]. However there have been some persisting puzzles.
We will now look at the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect (FQHE) from a completely novel perspective, for example in the case of graphene. As is well known graphene is a single layer graphite with many interesting properties. Some of these have been predicted by the author starting 1995 [18, 19, 20, 10]. The important point here is that graphene has a honeycomb like lattice structure so that the space of graphene resembles a chessboard with "holes" in space itself [7]. This means that there is a fundamental minimum length underpinning the system.
This fundamental length L leads to a non-commutative geometry [21]. This was pointed out a long time ago in the context of Quantum Electrodynamics [22]. This means that if (x, y) are the coordinates, $xy \neq yx$. Indeed, as pointed out, graphene therefore provides a test bed for these principles of physics which play a role in Quantum Gravity approaches. In such a situation it has been shown by the author and independently Saito [23, 24, 25]

that there is a strong magnetic field. Further, the author showed that this field is given by

$$BL^2 = hc/e \tag{15}$$

L^2 defines a Quantum of area exactly as in Quantum Gravity approaches [26, 27]. This as is well known is the area of individual lattices, in our case. To elaborate, the author had argued that (15) holds in the case of a non-commutative geometry. This happens when there is a fundamental length L which acts as a minimum length of the system. In this latter case Snyder had shown that commutation relations like

$$[x, y] = \left(\imath L^2/\hbar\right) L_x etc. \tag{16}$$

hold good. In the modern context L is the Compton (or Planck) length and we have L_x in (16) that reduces the Quantum mechanical spin value $\hbar/2$ (Cf.ref.[25, 28]). Moreover Saito had shown [24] from a slightly different point of view that

$$[x, y] = -\frac{\imath\hbar}{eB}$$

(c = 1)

(17)

where B is the magnetic field that is generated due to this noncommutative geometry. Following from (16) and (17), as pointed out by the author, equation (15) follows. From here we get equations like

$$y = \cdot\frac{\imath c}{eB}p$$

which were deduced by Landau much earlier, but without realizing the novel noncommutative feature [29, 30].

In these considerations for graphene as is very well known, the Fermi velocity ν_F replaces the velocity of light. So we have for the electron mobility and conductivity

$$\mu = \nu_F/|E| \tag{18}$$

$$\sigma = (n/A)e \cdot \frac{\nu_F}{|E|},\ A \sim L^2 \tag{19}$$

where A, as in the usual theory is the area and n is the number of electrons. In our case as noted above A, the area is made up of a number of honeycomb lattice areas, each with area $\sim L^2$, that is

$$A = mL^2$$

where m is an integer.
We also note that the electric field strength E equals the magnetic field strength B for two dimensional structures.
To see this we invoke the well known fact that the electric and magnetic fields are given by [31]

$$E(x) = \int \rho(x - x') \frac{(x - x')}{|x - x'|^3} dx' \tag{20}$$

$$B(x) = \frac{1}{c} \int J(x - x') \frac{x - x')}{|x - x'|^3} dx' \tag{21}$$

In the case of Graphene or more generally other two dimensional structures c as noted is replaced by the Fermi velocity ν_F while the Fermions (quasi particles) are massless as such luminal, that is like neutrinos in three dimensions, they move with velocity ν_F. So specializing to the 2D case the current J in (21) is given by

$$J = \rho \nu_F \tag{22}$$

Substitution of (22) in (21) leads to the equality

$$|E| = |B| \tag{23}$$

which we have noted above. That is the magnetic fields are much stronger than in the usual case.
Using these inputs in (19) we get

$$\sigma = \frac{n}{m} \cdot \frac{e\nu_F}{|B|L^2} \tag{24}$$

If we now use (15) in (24) (with ν_F replacing c) we get for the conductance

$$\sigma = \frac{n}{m} \cdot \frac{e^2}{h} \tag{25}$$

which defines the fractional Quantum Hall Effect. It must be mentioned that equation (15) takes care of any factor in the formula $A \sim L^2$.
Earlier the author had shown that it is this non-commutative space feature in two dimensional structures that explains also Landau levels [30] or the minimum conductivity that exists in graphene even when there are practically no electrons at the Dirac points [21]. In other words several supposedly diverse phenomena arise from the non-commutative space of these two dimensional structures.

2 Discussion

It must be mentioned that the idea of trying to consider graphene from the perspective of its noncommutative space has been studied by several authors [32, 33, 34, 35]. Some of the approaches were motivated by the Quantum Electrodynamics in the spirit of Wilson's Lattice Gauge Theory. We would like to point out that unlike in the other approaches we have added two new inputs not used earlier which have lead to the rather comprehensive and neat deduction of (25). These are firstly (15) which was deduced several years ago in the context of high energy physics and quantum gravity and secondly (23), which as shown applies to two dimensional systems.

It may be mentioned that over the years the Integral Hall Effect and Fractional Quantum Hall Effects have not only been observed experimentally but several excellent simulations exist [36]. Furthermore while it has been known that both the integral and the fractional effects may be qualitatively related, exact theories to explain this have not been fully developed. It must be mentioned that there is another approach that of composite Fermions which could potentially provide a unified description, for example that of the approach of J. Jain of Pensylvania State University. To put it briefly a composite Fermion describes an electron together with an even number of vortices.

Finally it may be mentioned that the work of the author and Saito briefly described above and which shows the production of a magnetic field due to noncommutative space, provides an explanation for the Quantum Anomalous Hall Effect which takes place in the absence of an external magnetic field. This effect was observed recently We can expect that in the process of the fermion boson transmutation from equation (11) ff. , there would be a surge of magnetism or its sudden disappearance owing to fermiion spin alignments [37].

References

[1] Sidharth, B.G. (2014). *Int.J.Mod.Phys.E* Volume 23, Issue 05, May 2014.

[2] Sidharth, B.G. (2014). *Int.J.Mod.Phys.E* Volume 23, Issue 05 (2), 2014.

[3] Sidharth, B.G. (2015). *Int.J.Mod.Phys.E* Volume 24, Issue 01, 2015, 1520001.

[4] Sidharth, B.G. (2015). *Int.J.Th.Phys.* 54 (7), 2015, pp.2382ff.

[5] Sidharth, B.G. (2014). *New Advances in Physics* 8 (2), 2014 (with R. Jain).

[6] Sidharth, B.G. (2014). *arXiv:physics/9905004v2* 4 February 2014

[7] Mecklenburg, M., and Regan, R.C. (2011). *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 106, 116803.

[8] Greiner, W. and Reinhardt, J. (1987). *Quantum Electrodynamics* 3rd Ed. (Springer, New York).

[9] Sidharth, B.G. (2014). *Int.J.Mod.Phys.E* Volume 23, Issue 11, 2014, 1450076.

[10] Sidharth, B.G. (1999). *J.Stat.Phys.* 95, (3/4), pp.775–784.

[11] Huang, K. (1975). *Statistical Mechanics* (Wiley Eastern, New Delhi), pp.75ff.

[12] Sidharth, B.G. (2008). *The Thermodynamic Universe* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2008).

[13] Schonhammer, K. and Meden, V. (1996). *Am.J.Phys.* 64(9), pp.1168–1176. (Cf. also Sidharth, B.G. (2001). *Chaotic Universe: From the Planck to the Hubble Scale* (Nova Science, New York)).

[14] Sidharth, B.G. (2015). *Int.J.Th.Phys* 54 (7), 2015 PP.2382-2385.

[15] Klitzing, V., Dorda and Pepper, M. (1980). *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 45, 1980, 494.

[16] Yenne, D.R. (1987). *Rev.Mod.Phys.* Vol.59, No.3 Part I, July 1987, pp.781ff.

[17] Laughlin, R.B. (1981). *Phys.Rev.B* 23 (10), 1981, pp.5632-5633.

[18] Sidharth, B.G. (1995). *A Note on Two Dimensional Fermions* in *BSC-CAMCS-TR-95-04-01.*

[19] Sidharth, B.G. (1999). *Low Dimensional Electrons* in *Solid State Physics*, Eds., R. Mukhopadhyay et al., 41, (Universities Press, Hyderabad, 1999), p.331.

[20] Sidharth, B.G. *arXiv. quant-ph. 9506002.*

[21] Sidharth, B.G. *New Advances in Physics* 8 (1), 2014.

[22] Snyder, H.S. (1947). *Physical Review* Vol.72, No.1, July 1 1947, pp.68–71.

[23] Sidharth, B.G. (2003). *Nuovo Cimento B* 118B, (1), pp.35-40.

[24] Saito, T. (2000). *Gravitation and Cosmology* 6, No.22, pp.130-136.

[25] Sidharth, B.G. (2001). *Fuzzy, non commutative spacetime: A new paradigm for a new century* in *Proceedings of Fourth International Symposium on "Frontiers of Fundamental Physics"* (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York), p.97–108.

[26] Sidharth, B.G. *Pre Space Time Journal* 3 (11), 2012.

[27] Sidharth, B.G. and Aditya, C.V. (2013). *Hadronic Journal* **36**, 2013, pp.205-210.

[28] Sidharth, B.G. (2005). *The Universe of Fluctuations* (Springer, Netherlands).

[29] Landau, L.D. and Lifshitz, E.M. (1976). *Quantum Mechanics* (Pergamon Press, Oxford) pp.456ff.

[30] Sidharth, B.G. (2005). *Int.J.Mod.Phys.E.* 14(8),2005,1247ff.

[31] Jackson, J.D. (1975) *Classical Electrodynamics* (John Wiley, New York).

[32] Bellisard, J. et al. (1994). *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 35, 1994, 5373-5451.

[33] Matilde Marcolli, Varghese Mathai (2005). *arXiv:cond-mat/0502356v2[cond-mat.mes-hall]* 14 June 2005.

[34] Menculini, L., Pannela, O and Roy, P. (2013). *Phys.Rev.D* 87, 2013, 065017.

[35] Castro Neto, A.H. et al. (2009). *Rev.Mod.Phys* 81, 2009, 109.

[36] Ezawa, Z.F. (2013). *Quantum Effect, Theory and Experimental Developments* (Third Edition) (World Scientific, Singapore, 2013).

[37] Chang, C.Z. et al. (2013). *Science* 340, 2013, 167.